



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,216	02/25/2002	Hiroshi Yoshiura	566.36319CX2	4475
20457	7590 09/24/2004		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			DARROW, JUSTIN T	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	LINGTON, VA 22209-9889		2132	

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Office Action Summary 10/081,216				/_/		
## Examiner Justin T. Darrow 2132 The MANLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address teriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE Of THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CRF 1.13(lo). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed differed to 100 Min 15 min and available under the provisions of 37 CRF 1.13(lo). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed differed to 100 Min 15 min and the 100 Min 15 min and the 100 Min 15 min of the 100 Min 15 min		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i> ?		
Usbin T. Darrow — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address teriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elements of term may be available under the provisions of 3 CER 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Elements of term may be available under the provisions of 3 CER 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Elements of term ply a specified shows in beas than thirty (30) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limely. If the period for reply specified above is beas than thirty (30) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limely. If the period for reply tapedied days, the manimal stations provided sign and will series (40) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallow the provided provided in the status of the status of this communication is the supplication to be some ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In the station is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2c) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2c) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2d) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2d) ☐ The action is fixer evaluated. 2d) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Sarar evaluated. 2d) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 islare: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 2d) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 islare: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 2d) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 islare: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to see 37 CFR 1.121(d). 2d) ☐		10/081,216	YOSHIURA ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—retroid for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exterestina of time map be available under the provisions of 3 C.R. 1.138(a). In no overt, however, may a raply be timely filed after 150 k(b) MONTH's from the maling date of the scommunication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic parted will apply and will expire (s) (d) MONTH's from the maling date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office that the time months after the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 130). Any reply received by the Office that the time mention suffer the making date of this communication, even if limely filed, may reduce any varieties and plant term adjustment. Set 97 CFR 1.754(b). Itatus 1)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Intermediate of time neigh se available under the provisions of 37 CF N 1.18(e), in no event, however, may a riphy be smelly filled - If the period for riphy specified above, the maximum abstutory printinum of brity (30) days will be consistent timely. - If the period for riphy specified above, the maximum abstutory printinum of brity (30) days will be consistent timely. - If the period for riphy specified above, the maximum abstutory will, by statute, cause the application to become ABNADOBE (3 U.S. C. § 133). - Any riphy involved by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any variety planet. - If the period for riphy is specified above, the maximum abstutory will, by statute, cause the application to become ABNADOBE (3 U.S. C. § 133). - Any riphy involved by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any variety planet. - If the period for riphy is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - All Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. - All Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. - Size and the above claim(s) is/are objected to. - Claim(s) is/are objected to. - Claim(s) is/are objected to. - Claim(s)						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 cPir. 1.196(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled and (SN, 6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 cPir. 1.196(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled and (SN, 6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - If No protect or reply is specified above, the maximum statustory period will pay have will expire 30 (s) (MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Fallure to reply within the source exceeded period for reply will, by statule, cause the apprication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Altyrepty received by the Office there than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any contradent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). ***Total This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Is since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ***Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. ***Opplication Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers* 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. ***Protection Papers** 11) Some ***Opelance of the priority documents have been received. 21) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for		appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	S		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. *riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	'=					
All Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090.419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		•	·	its is		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **supplication Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to pestriction and/or election requirement. 4. **Population Papers** 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims					
5	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n.				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Iriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. ***spplication Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. *riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Application Papers					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		objected to by the Examiner.			
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Applicant may not request that any objection to tl	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	I21(d).		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.		
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 H.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		gir priority under 00 0.0.0.	3 1 10(4) (4) 61 (1).			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/090,419. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	_	ents have been received.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	е		
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	t received.			
	Attachment(s)		0. (0.70.) (0.70.			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	B) 🛮 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	Patent and Trademark Office	o) [_] Otner:	·			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 have been examined.

Priority

- 2. Acknowledgment is made that the instant application is a continuation of Application No. 09/621,697, filed 07/21/2000, now U.S. Patent No. 6,499,105 B1, which is a continuation of Application No. 09/090,419, filed 06/04/1998, now U.S. Patent No. 6,131,162 A.
- 3. Receipt is acknowledged of a papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which paper has been placed of record in parent Application No. 09/090,419, filed 06/04/1998, now U.S. Patent No. 6,131,162 A.
- 4. Acknowledgment is made for the benefit of an earlier filing date of Application No. P09-148061 filed in Japan on 06/05/1997 and Application No. P09-348860 filed in Japan on 12/18/1997.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Atkinson et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,892,904 A.

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 1 and 6, Atkinson et al. illustrate a validity check system and method having

an information browser device that displays electronic data (see column 7, lines 23-27; figure 5, item 138; a browser application; see column 8, lines 41-48; figure 1, items 20 and 30; figure 7, item 180; a digital certificate rendered on a display screen associated with a recipient computer in a windowed computer environment),

an information publisher device that stores electronic data in a state that it can be displayed in the information browser device (see column 5, 36-52; figure 2A, items 58, 60, and 62; documents, including images, audio, video, executable programs, etc., for browsing reside as resources at a remote computer),

and a validity check unit that checks the validity of the electronic data (see column 7, lines 23-30; figure 6; publisher signature confirmation method; see column 4, lines 17-25 and 54-63; figure 1, item 24; implemented by a processor in software), comprising:

a storing sub-unit which stores validity checkable information (see column 7, lines 18-22; figure 5, item 136; a root public key incorporated into software applications or operating systems) usable for checking the validity of the electronic data stored in the information publisher device (see column 7, lines 53-55; figure 6, process block 158; figure 5, item 136; figure 4, item 122; decrypting the publisher digital certificate with the public key); and

a check sub-unit which checks the validity of electronic data which was requested from the information browser device to the information publisher device (see column 8, lines 3-21; figure 6, process blocks 158, 160, 164, 166, and 168; verifying the executable file by comparing

Art Unit: 2132

the user-computed hash with cryptographic digest included in the publisher signature decrypted by the publisher's public key retrieved from the publisher digital certificate with the public key),

by using the validity checkable information (see column 7, lines 53-55; figure 6, process block 158; figure 5, item 136; figure 4, item 122; decrypting the publisher digital certificate with the public key), and

transmitting the check result to the information browser device (see column 8, lines 22-29; figure 6, process block 170; and figure 7, dialog 180; when there is match of the user-computed hash with cryptographic digest, this result selectively renders a dialog confirming the certification of the received executable file; see column 8, lines 41-49; figure 7; dialog 180; where the digital certificate dialog is rendered on the display screen in a windowed environment).

As per claims 2 and 7, Atkinson et al. further point out:

that a control sub-unit has display control of the information browser device of the electronic data according to the check result (see column 8, lines 50-58; figure 7, dialog 180; displaying the executable file as having been published by Publisher under an Internet publishing license granted by Agency).

As per claims 3 and 8, Atkinson et al. alternatively show:

that the control sub-unit further keeps the electronic data from being displayed on the information browser device after the check sub-unit detects a modification of the electronic data (see column 8, lines 15-21; figure 6, decision block 168 and process block 162; if the user-

Art Unit: 2132

computed hash does not match the cryptographic digest, the user is notified that the certification has failed and that digital certificate dialog for the executable file is not rendered on the display).

As per claims 4 and 5, Atkinson et al. depict a validity check unit and a program product comprising computer readable program code which constructs on a computer a validity check unit (see column 7, lines 23-30; figure 6; publisher signature confirmation method; see column 4, lines 17-25 and 54-63; figure 1, item 24; implemented by a processor in software), comprising:

a storing sub-unit which stores validity checkable information (see column 7, lines 18-22; figure 5, item 136; a root public key incorporated into software applications or operating systems) usable for checking the validity of the electronic data stored in the information publisher device (see column 7, lines 53-55; figure 6, process block 158; figure 5, item 136; figure 4, item 122; decrypting the publisher digital certificate with the public key); and

a check sub-unit which checks the validity of electronic data which was requested from the information browser device to the information publisher device (see column 8, lines 3-21; figure 6, process blocks 158, 160, 164, 166, and 168; verifying the executable file by comparing the user-computed hash with cryptographic digest included in the publisher signature decrypted by the publisher's public key retrieved from the publisher digital certificate with the public key).

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin T. Darrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-3872 until mid October 2004, then (571) 272-3801 thereafter, and whose electronic mail address is

Art Unit: 2132

justin.darrow@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barrón, Jr., can be reached at (703) 305-1830 until mid October 2004, then (571) 272-3799.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2100 is (703) 872-9306. In order for a formal paper transmitted by fax to be entered into the application file, the paper and/or fax cover sheet must be signed by a representative for the applicant. Faxed formal papers for application file entry, such as amendments adding claims, extensions of time, and statutory disclaimers for which fees must be charged before entry, must be transmitted with an authorization to charge a deposit account to cover such fees. It is also recommended that the cover sheet for the fax of a formal paper have printed "OFFICIAL FAX". Formal papers transmitted by fax usually require three business days for entry into the application file and consideration by the examiner. Formal or Official faxes including amendments after final rejection (37 CFR 1.116) should be submitted to (703) 872-9306 for expedited entry into the application file. It is further recommended that the cover sheet for the fax containing an amendment after final rejection have printed not only "OFFICIAL FAX" but also "AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL".

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2132

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900 until mid October 2004, then (571) 272-2100 thereafter.

September 18, 2004

Justin Tongw JUSTIN T. DARROW PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100